

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,491	10/19/1999	HIDEKAZU TAKEYAMA	040679/0951	2877
7.	590 12/10/2002			
FOLEY & LARDNER WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET NW			EXAMINER	
			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC- 200075109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	FAFER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2002	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)			
	Аррисанол 140.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address –			
P riod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaul Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the matern adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	reply within the statutory min ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro atute, cause the application t	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 100 08	200 / pape	r nos 17 & 18)			
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1933 	t for formal matters, pro 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to the merits is closed in			
Disposition of Claims					
Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 -9	3-13	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s) 3-9		is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
□ Claim(e)					
*Claim(s) 2 and 10-13		is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers		requirement			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	⊢ (d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:					
·	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International					
*Certified copies not received:	•	· · · ·			
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) 🗆 🗆 In	nt rview Summary, PTO-413			
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		other			
Office Ac	ction Summary				

Application/Control Number: 09/420,491

Art Unit: 1761

An RCE (paper no. 17) has been filed on August 08, 2002.
 An amendment (paper no 18) has been filed on August 08, 2002.

- 2. Claims 2 and 10-13 are under examination.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 2 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lakshmanan et al (USP 4857594).

This rejection is incorporated hence by reference from prior office actions paper no 8 & 11.

It is true that Lakshmanan discloses melt adhesive, composition. Examiner looks at it as

an adhesive irrespective of whether it is a melt adhesive a pressure sensitive adhesive or any

other type of an adhesive. Hence Applicants' arguments (on page 6 of paper no 13) which are

directed to pointing out the difference between the claimed adhesive and that of Lakshmanan are

not persuasive.

On page 7 of same paper, the applicants show that Run no 6 of Lakshmanan teaches against the claimed invention. It is to be noted that examples are illustrative and not limitative of the disclosed invention. Applicants' showing is therefore not persuasive.

Applicants' argument (paper no. 9 of same paper) that wax of Lakshmanan does not read on (claimed) plasticizer is not acceptable since wax is a hydrocarbon and wax acts as a plasticizer.

Applicants argue that amorphous polypropylene of Lakshmanan is excluded from scope of instant claim 10 due to transitional conjunction "consisting essentially of" in view of the

Application/Control Number: 09/420,491

"Art Unit: 1761

Page 3

previous by submitted declaration (paper no. 14). The said declaration is not probative to establish monobviousness of instant claims since it is devoid of data showing any comparison with Lakshmanan.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is 703-308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

U. K. Rajguru/mn December 3, 2002

> James J. Sèidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700